



Mtilities Commission

Post Office Box 29510 Raleigh, N. C. 27626-0510

COMMISSIONERS
JO ANNE SANFORD, Chair
ALLYSONER: DUNCAM
RALPH A. HUNT

OCT 1.5 '998

500 MAIL 500 October 14, 1998

COMMISSIONERS JUDY HUNT WILLIAM R. PITTMAN J. RICHARD CONDER ROBERT V. OWENS, JR.

Honorable Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: The North Carolina Utilities Commission's Forward-Looking Economic Cost Study for North State Telephone Company filed pursuant to CC Docket No. 97-160 and

Dear Secretary Salas:

With respect to the above subject matter, enclosed are the required WordPerfect documents and Excel spreadsheets representing the forward-looking economic cost (FLEC) study proposed by the North Carolina Utilities Commission specifically for North State Telephone Company (North State). These documents are presented as required in the FCC's Public Notice DA 98-217 released February 27, 1998.

FLEC Study for North State Telephone Company:

Disk 1 of 2 contains the output files of the study in Excel and Comma Separated Variable (CSV), as included in the following files:

- (a) report.xls
- (b) lines.xls
- (c) nst-be~1.csv

Disk 2 of 2 contains the input file named "input.xls" in Excel and the text document in WordPerfect 6.1 under the file name "nstusf.wpd".

North Carolina has previously submitted its FLEC studies for BellSouth, Carolina/Central, and GTE. However, North State filed its proposed FLEC study with the

No. of Copies rec'd

430 North Salisbury Street • Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 List A 5 C D E Telephone No: (919) 733-4249

Facsimile No: (919) 733-7300

Honorable Magalie Roman Salas October 14, 1998 Page 2 of 2

Commission on May 20, 1998, and though we issued an Order Accepting and Approving North State's FLEC study for submission to the FCC on September 9, 1998, the final documentation required for filing with the FCC was received this week.

North State is a non-rural telecommunications carrier; however, it is substantially smaller than the larger non-rural North Carolina LECs, specifically BellSouth, Carolina/Central, and GTE. The North Carolina Utilities Commission requests the FCC to accept North State's FLEC study for consideration.

Very truly yours,

Jo Anne Sanford, Chair

Enclosures: Two 3.5" diskettes

Copy of N.C. Utilities Commission's September 9, 1998 Order Accepting and

Approving North State's FLEC Study

CC:

Sheryl Todd with two 3.5" diskettes

Geneva Thigpen with hard copy of text document

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA **UTILITIES COMMISSION** RALEIGH

Szczech RECEIVE OCT 1 5 1998

DOCKET NO. P-100, SUB 133b - SCC MAIL ROCM

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of Establishment of Universal Support ORDER GRANTING NORTH STATE'S Mechanisms Pursuant to Section 254 MOTION FOR ACCEPTANCE AND of the Telecommunications Act of 1996) APPROVAL OF ITS FLEC STUDY

BY THE COMMISSION: On May 20, 1998, North State Telephone Company (North State) filed its Motion for Acceptance and Approval of Forward-Looking Economic Cost (FLEC) User Adjustable Inputs and Parameters. In its Motion, North State maintained that it is a non-rural telecommunications carrier within the meaning of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and, as such, is subject to the same requirements as BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth), GTE South, Inc. (GTE), and Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company and Central Telephone Company (Carolina/Central) regarding the submission of federal universal service cost study data. However, North State pointed out that it is substantially smaller than the larger North Carolina local exchange companies (LECs) and is subject to different regulatory treatment at the state and federal levels than the large North Carolina LECs.

The North Carolina Utilities Commission filed its proposed FLEC studies for BellSouth, GTE, and Carolina/Central with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on May 26, 1998.

On August 10, 1998, the Public Staff filed its Response to North State's Motion. The Public Staff stated that it agrees that North State is a non-rural telephone company and subject to the same requirements as BellSouth, GTE, and Carolina/Central with regard to the submission of federal universal service cost study data. The Public Staff stated that it concurs with North State's proposal to utilize certain inputs found reasonable for use in determining the cost of providing universal service in areas served by BellSouth. Further, the Public Staff maintained that using BellSouth inputs as surrogates is reasonable in cases where North State does not have service area specific data. The Public Staff stated in its Response that it has reviewed the inputs proposed by North State and believes that certain changes should be made. The Public Staff stated that the most extensive changes involve revisions necessary to reflect the Commission's July 2, 1998 Order on Reconsideration in the FLEC docket. The Public Staff concluded that with the changes recommended in its Response, the Public Staff believes that the Motion filed by North State should be granted by the Commission and that the adjusted inputs and output reports should be filed with the FCC as the forward-looking economic costs for the areas served by North State.

On August 17, 1998, the Chair issued an Order Directing North State to Re-File its Cost Study or File Objections. The Order stated that North State should either re-file its FLEC study based on the Public Staff's August 10, 1998 Response, or file objections to said Response.

On August 28, 1998, North State re-filed its FLEC study in compliance with the Public Staff's Response.

The Commission concludes that it is appropriate to grant North State's Motion for Acceptance and Approval of FLEC User Adjustable Inputs and Parameters. Therefore, the Commission accepts and approves North State's FLEC study filed on August 28, 1998 for submission to the FCC.

IT IS, THEREFORE, SO ORDERED.

ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION.

This the ______ day of September, 1998.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

Geneva S. Thigpen, Chief Clerk

bc090898.01

DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

- o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the RIPS system.
 - o Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.
- o Other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the RIPS system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed by contacting an Information Technician. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician.

DISK FILED

WITH 97-160